UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,114	07/24/2003	Steven E. Riedl	61575.1034	9519	
70044 7590 07/01/2008 RYAN, MASON & LEWIS, LLP			EXAMINER		
1300 POST RO	1300 POST ROAD			STOKELY-COLLINS, JASMINE N	
SUITE 205 FAIRFIELD, C	T 06824		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,114

Art Unit: 2623

Applicant's arguments filed on 6/13/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On page 3 of applicant's arguments, applicant argues his invention distinguishes over the prior art because the prior art does not meet limitation "the first data being contained in the information section of the data object without any data overhead portion associated with the first data in the information section. The examiner disagrees; Petersen '023 teaches an ATM cell with an information section and a header, in which the higher priority data (first data) is completely contained in the information section and has no data overhead anywhere else in ATM cell; the only data overhead portion of the ATM cell is the ATM cell header, which is not specific to the first data. The examiner recognizes other interpretations may exist, however the instant interpretation of the prior art still reads on the claim as written.

Page 2